Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED	STATES	DISTR	ICT	COURT	
NORTHERN	DISTRI	CT OF	CAL	IFORNIA	

TIMOTHY RALPH CARRILLO, Petitioner,

v.

JIMMY SMITH,

Respondent.

Case No. 15-cv-0997-TEH

ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND TO SHOW CAUSE

Re: Dkt. No. 16

Petitioner Timothy Ralph Carrillo, a state prisoner, proceeds with a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner asserts the following claims: (1)his federal speedy trial and right to due process was violated when the trial court denied his motion to dismiss for failure to comply with California's codification of the Interstate Agreement on Detainers (IAD); (2) ineffective assistance of trial and appellate counsel for failure to obtain pertinent IAD documents; and (3) his sentence violated the Eighth Amendment.

Respondent has filed a motion to dismiss alleging that the first claim is exhausted but the final two claims are unexhausted. Petitioner has filed an opposition seeking to strike the final two claims and proceed solely on the first exhausted claim regarding the denial of his motion to dismiss with respect to the IAD.

For the foregoing reasons, the Court orders as follows:

- Respondent's motion to dismiss (Docket No. 16) is
 GRANTED and claims two and three are struck from the petition.
 The petition continues on claim one.
- 2. Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within sixty-three (63) days of the issuance of this Order, an Answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted. Respondent shall file with the Answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the Petition.

If Petitioner wishes to respond to the Answer, he shall do so by filing a Traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within twenty-eight (28) days of his receipt of the Answer.

- 3. In lieu of an Answer, Respondent may file a Motion to Dismiss on procedural grounds, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an Opposition or Statement of Non-Opposition within thirty-five (35) days of receipt of the motion, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a Reply within fourteen (14) days of receipt of any Opposition.
- 4. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the Court must be served on Respondent by mailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel. Petitioner also must keep the

Case 3:15-cv-00997-TEH Document 25 Filed 09/17/15 Page 3 of 3

	1
	2
	3
	4
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
rt nia	12
ict Court Californi	13
s District Cou rict of Califor	12 13 14 15 16 17
es Di strict	15
l Stat n Dis	16
Inited Sorthern	17
ر ا	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

28

Cc	ourt	and	all	partie	es infor	med o	f any	ch	ange	of	address	by	filing
a	sepa	arate	do	cument	entitle	d "No	tice	of	Chang	re c	of Addres	ss.″	,

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 09/16/2015

THELTON E. HENDERSON United States District Judge

The thersesson

G:\PRO-SE\TEH\HC.15\Carrillo0997.mtd.docx